arXiv:1110.6676v2 [math.FA] 13 Mar 2012

COORBIT DESCRIPTION AND ATOMIC DECOMPOSITION OF BESOV SPACES

JENS G. CHRISTENSEN, AZITA MAYELI, AND GESTUR ÓLAFSSON

Abstract. Function spaces are central topic in analysis. Often those spaces and related analysis involves symmetries in form of an action of a Lie group. Coorbit theory as introduced by Feichtinger and Gröchenig and then later extended in [3] gives a unified method to construct Banach spaces of functions based on representations of Lie groups. In this article we identify the homogeneous Besov spaces on stratified Lie groups introduced in [13] as coorbit spaces in the sense of [3] and use this to derive atomic decompositions for the Besov spaces.

Introduction

Banach spaces of functions are central topics in analysis on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Those spaces are usually translation invariant, a property that can be expressed as invariance under the Lie group $\mathbb{R}^n$ acting on itself. More generally, one considers Banach spaces defined on a manifold X and invariant under an action of a Lie group G. This simple idea combines two central topics in mathematics: Harmonic analysis on manifolds and representation theory of Lie groups. This idea was exemplified in the fundamental construction of Feichtinger and Gröchenig [6, 7, 8] where the authors proposed a unified way to construct function spaces based on representation theory. This method was generalized in [2] by removing some assumptions on the representation from the work of Feichtinger and Gröchenig, and a method for atomic decompositions of these spaces was developed in [1]. Similar techniques for decomposition can be found in for example [16] and [11], but the use of differentiable representations in [1] makes those results particularly convenient for our purposes.

A different way to introduce function spaces is to use smoothness conditions. As important examples of that are the well known Besov and and Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces. Often those spaces are described using Littlewood-Paley methods [12], but they can also be described using wavelet type decompositions, see [17] and the reference therein. Stratified Lie groups are natural objects for extending this line of study, since they come with natural dilations and a sub-Laplacian satisfying the Hörmander conditions.

The inhomogeneous Besov spaces on stratified Lie groups was introduced by Saka [22]. A characterization of inhomogeneous Besov groups in terms of Littlewood-Paley-decomposition was shown for all groups of polynomial growth in [14]. The construction of the homogeneous Besov spaces was extended to stratified Lie groups in [13] using a discrete collection of smooth band-limited wavelets with vanishing moments of all orders. These wavelets were introduced in [15]. In [13], it was also shown that the definition is equivalent with a definition in terms of the heat semigroup $\{e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\}_{t>0}$ for $\mathcal{L}$, the sub-Laplacian operator for the group G. In this paper we extend this result and show that the definition of Besov spaces also coincides with a definition based on a family of operators $\{\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})\}_{t>0}$ where $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ (Theorem 2.9). We further use a representation theory approach to show that the homogeneous Besov spaces on stratified Lie groups in [13] are coorbit spaces in

---

Key words and phrases. Coorbit spaces; Sampling; Stratified Lie group; Besov spaces; Sub-Laplacian;

The research of J. G. Christensen was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0801010, and ONR grants NAVY.N0001409103, NAVY.N000140910324.

The research of A. Mayeli was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0801010.

The research of G. Ólafsson was supported by DMS-0801010 and DMS-1101337.

the sense of [2, 3] and to use the results of [1] to obtain atomic decompositions and frames for those spaces.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definition of coorbit spaces and their atomic decomposition as needed for the theory of Besov spaces. The details and proofs can be found in [2, 1, 3]. We start Section 2 by recalling the basic definitions for stratified Lie groups. In particular we introduce the sub-Laplacian and its spectral theory. This enables us to formulate the needed results from [13]. In particular we recall in Theorem 2.6 some properties of the homogeneous Besov spaces and their descriptions in terms of the heat kernel: For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|s| < 2k$, and any $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} \asymp \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^k e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f\|_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the sub-Laplacian ([13], Theorem 4.4). This description is not well adapted to the coorbit theory. For that we need to replace the heat kernel by a smooth bandlimited function u. This is achieved in Theorem 2.9, which is the fundamental step in describing the Besov spaces as coorbit spaces and their atomic decomposition in Theorem 3.5: Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be compactly supported and satisfying some extra conditions. If u denotes the distribution kernel of $\widehat{u}(\mathcal{L})$, then u is an analyzing vector and up to norm equivalence

$$B^{Q-2s/q}_{p,q}(G) = \mathrm{Co}^u_{\mathcal{S}_0(G)} L^{p,q}_s \, .$$

Here Q is the homogeneous degree of the stratified Lie group G.

1. Coorbit spaces and their atomic decomposition

In this section we introduce the notion of coorbit spaces based on [2, 3]. We then discuss the discretization from [1] of reproducing kernel Banach spaces and apply it to coorbit spaces.

1.1. **Construction.** The starting point is a continuous representation π of a locally compact group G on a Fréchet space S. In most applications the space S has a natural candidate. Let S^* be the conjugate linear dual equipped with the weak* topology and assume that S is continuously embedded and weak* dense in S^*. The conjugate linear dual pairing of elements $v \in S$ and $\phi \in S^*$ will be denoted by $\langle \phi, v \rangle$. In particular $\langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is well defined for $u, v \in S$ and if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in S$ then $u = 0$.

A vector $v \in S$ is called *cyclic* if $\langle \phi, \pi(x)v \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in G$ means that $\phi = 0$ in S^*, and if such a vector exists π is called a *cyclic representation.* Define the contragradient representation (π^*, S^*) by

$$\langle \pi^*(x)\phi, v \rangle := \langle \phi, \pi(x^{-1})v \rangle.$$

Then π^* is a continuous representation of G on S^*.

For a fixed vector $u \in S$ the *wavelet transform* $W_u : S^* \to C(G)$

$$W_u(\phi)(x) := \langle \phi, \pi(x)u \rangle = \langle \pi^*(x^{-1})\phi, u \rangle.$$

is a linear mapping. Note that W_u is injective if and only if u is cyclic.

Denote by ℓ_x and r_x the left and right translations on functions on G given by

$$\ell_x f(y) := f(x^{-1}y) \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_x f(y) := f(yx).$$

A Banach space of functions B is called left invariant if $f \in B$ implies that $\ell_x f \in B$ for all $x \in G$ and there is a constant C_x such that $\|\ell_x f\|_B \leq C_x \|f\|_B$ for all $f \in B$. Define right invariance similarly. We will always assume that for each non-empty compact set U there is a constant C_U such that for all $f \in B$

$$\sup_{y \in U} \|\ell_y f\|_B \leq C_U \|f\|_B \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sup_{y \in U} \|r_y f\|_B \leq C_U \|f\|_B .$$

If $x \mapsto \ell_x f$ or $x \mapsto r_x f$ are continuous from G to B for all $f \in B$, then we say that left or right translation are continuous respectively.

Fix a Haar measure μ on G. We will only work with spaces B of functions on G for which convergence in B implies convergence (locally) in left Haar measure on G. Examples of such spaces are all spaces continuously included in some $L^p(G)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. When f, g are measurable functions on G for which the product $f(x)g(x^{-1}y)$ is integrable for all $y \in G$ we define the convolution $f * g$ as

$$f * g(y) := \int_G f(x)g(x^{-1}y)\,d\mu(x).$$

For a function f on G we define $f^\vee(x) := f(x^{-1})$ and $f^* := \overline{f^\vee}$. We will frequently use that for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G)$, the adjoint of the convolution operator $g \mapsto g * f$ is provided by $h \mapsto h * f^*$.

For a given representation (π, S) and a vector $u \in S$ define the space

$$B_u := \{f \in B \mid f = f * W_u(u)\}$$

with norm inherited from B. Furthermore define the space

$$\mathrm{Co}_S^u B := \{\phi \in S^* \mid W_u(\phi) \in B\}$$

with norm $\|\phi\| = \|W_u(\phi)\|_B$. In [3] minimal conditions were listed to ensure that a B_u and $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$ are isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces. In that case, the space $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$ is called the *coorbit space of B with respect to u and (π, S)*. In this paper we only need the following result which can be found in [3].

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a cyclic representation of a group G on a Fréchet space S which is continuously included in its conjugate dual S^*. Fix a cyclic vector $u \in S$ and assume that $W_u(\phi) * W_u(u) = W_u(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in S^*$. If for the Banach function space B the mapping*

$$B \times S \to \mathbb{C}\,, \quad (f, v) \mapsto \int_G f(x) W_v(u)^\vee(x)\,d\mu(x) \tag{1}$$

is well defined and continuous, then

(a) *The space $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$ is a π^*-invariant Banach space.*
(b) *The space B_u is a left invariant reproducing kernel Banach subspace of B.*
(c) *$W_u : \mathrm{Co}_S^u B \to B_u$ is an isometric isomorphism which intertwines π^* and left translation.*
(d) *If left translation is continuous on B, then π^* acts continuously on $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$.*
(e) *$\mathrm{Co}_S^u B = \{\pi^*(f)u \mid f \in B_u\}$.*

A vector u satisfying the requirements of the theorem is called an *analyzing vector*.

Remark 1.2. *Note that the condition (1) implies, in particular, that for a fixed $u \in S$ we have $\pi^*(f) \in S^*$ for all $f \in B$. Also, if S is dense in a Hilbert space H and π extends to an unitary representation, also denoted by π, of G on H such that for $u, v \in S$ (or H) $\langle u, v\rangle = (u, v)$, then (1) says that*

$$(f, v) \mapsto (f, W_u(v))$$

is continuous, where the $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on the right refer to the Köthe dual pairing on B.

1.2. **Atomic decompositions and frames.**

The previous section sets up a correspondence between a space of distributions and a reproducing kernel Banach space. We now investigate the discretization operators introduced in [7] and [16], but we do so without assuming integrability of the reproducing kernel. The reproducing kernel Banach space B_u has reproducing kernel $W_u(u)(y^{-1}x)$ and is continuously included in an ambient Banach space B. From now on we will assume that the space B is solid, which means that if $g \in B$ and $|f(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ μ-almost everywhere then $f \in B$ and $\|f\|_B \leq \|g\|_B$.

Frames and atomic decompositions for Banach spaces were first introduced in [16] in the following manner.

Definition 1.3. *Let B be a Banach space and $B^{\#}$ a Banach sequence space with index set I. If for $\lambda_i \in B^*$ and $\phi_i \in B$ we have*

(a) *$\{\lambda_i(f)\}_{i\in I} \in B^{\#}$ for all $f \in B$;*
(b) *the norms $\|\lambda_i(f)\|_{B^{\#}}$ and $\|f\|_B$ are equivalent;*
(c) *f can be written as $f = \sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i(f)\phi_i$;*

then $\{(\lambda_i, \phi_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is an atomic decomposition of B with respect to $B^{\#}$.

More generally a Banach frame for a Banach space can be defined as:

Definition 1.4. *Let B be a Banach space and $B^{\#}$ a Banach sequence space with index set I. If for $\lambda_i \in B^*$ we have*

(a) *$\{\lambda_i(f)\}_{i\in I} \in B^{\#}$ for all $f \in B$,*
(b) *the norms $\|\lambda_i(f)\|_{B^{\#}}$ and $\|f\|_B$ are equivalent,*
(c) *there is a bounded reconstruction operator $T : B^{\#} \to B$ such that $T(\{\lambda_i(f)\}_{i\in I}) = f$,*

then $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an Banach frame for B with respect to $B^{\#}$.

In the sequel we will often suppress the use of the index set I.

The Banach sequence spaces we will use were introduced in [7] and they are constructed from a solid Banach function space B as described below. For a compact neighbourhood U of the identity we call the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ U-relatively separated if $G \subseteq \bigcup_i x_i U$ and there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_i (\#\{j \mid x_i U \cap x_j U \neq \emptyset\}) \leq N.$$

For a U-relatively separated sequence $X = \{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ define the space $B^{\#}(X)$ to be the collection of sequences $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ for which the pointwise sum

$$\sum_{i\in I} |\lambda_i| 1_{x_i U}(x)$$

defines a function in B. If the compactly supported continuous functions are dense in B then this sum also converges in norm (for an argument see [20] Lemma 4.3.1). Equipped with the norm

$$\|\{\lambda_i\}\|_{B^{\#}} := \|\sum_{i\in I} |\lambda_i| 1_{x_i U}\|_B$$

the space $B^{\#}(X)$ is a solid Banach sequence space, i.e., if $\tau = \{\tau_i\}$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\}$ are sequences such that $\lambda \in B^{\#}(X)$ and for all $i \in I$ we have $|\tau_i| \leq |\lambda_i|$, then $\tau \in B^{\#}(X)$ and $\|\tau\| \leq \|\lambda\|$. In the case were $B = L^p(G)$ we have $B^{\#}(X) = \ell^p(I)$.

For fixed $X = \{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ the space $B^{\#}(X)$ only depends on the compact neighborhood U up to norm equivalence. Further, if $X = \{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $Y = \{y_i\}_{i\in I}$ are two U-relatively separated sequences with same index set such that $x_i^{-1} y_i \in V$ for some compact set V, then $B^{\#}(X) = B^{\#}(Y)$ with equivalent norms. For these properties consult Lemma 3.5 in [7].

For a given compact neighbourhood U of the identity a sequence of non-negative functions $\{\psi_i\}$ is called a *bounded uniform partition of unity* subordinate to U (or U-BUPU), if there is a U-relatively separated sequence $\{x_i\}$, such that $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq x_i U$ and for all $x \in G$ we have $\sum_i \psi_i(x) = 1$. Note that for a given $x \in G$ this sum is over finite indices.

1.3. **Discretization operators for reproducing kernel Banach spaces on Lie groups.**

Let G be Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$. Then for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we define the right and left differential operators (if the limits exist)

$$R(X)f(x) := \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x\exp(tX)) - f(x)}{t} \qquad \text{and} \qquad L(X)f(x) := \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(\exp(-tX)x) - f(x)}{t}.$$

We note that $R([X,Y]) = R(X)R(Y) - R(Y)R(X)$ and $L([X,Y]) = L(X)L(Y) - L(Y)L(X)$. Fix a basis $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim(G)}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$. For a multi index α of length $|\alpha| = k$ with entries between 1 and $\dim(G)$ we introduce the operator R^α of subsequent right differentiations

$$R^\alpha f := R(X_{\alpha(k)})R(X_{\alpha(k-1)}) \cdots R(X_{\alpha(1)})f.$$

Similarly we introduce the operator L^α of subsequent left differentiations

$$L^\alpha f := L(X_{\alpha(k)})L(X_{\alpha(k-1)}) \cdots L(X_{\alpha(1)})f.$$

We call the function f right (respectively left) *differentiable of order* n, if for every x and all $|\alpha| \leq n$ the derivatives $R^\alpha f(x)$ (respectively $L^\alpha f(x)$) exist.

In the remainder of this section we will assume that

(a) B is a solid left- and right-invariant Banach function space on which right translation is continuous.
(b) The kernel Φ is smooth and satisfies $\Phi * \Phi = \Phi$.
(c) The mappings $f \mapsto f * |L^\alpha \Phi|$ and $f \mapsto f * |R^\alpha \Phi|$ are continuous on B for all α with $|\alpha| \leq \dim(G)$.

By setting $\alpha = 0$ we see that convolution with Φ is a continuous projection from B onto the reproducing kernel Banach space

$$B_\Phi := \{f \in B \mid f * \Phi = f\}.$$

We now describe sampling theorems and atomic decompositions for the space B_Φ based on the smoothness of the (not necessarily integrable) reproducing kernel Φ. The results can be found in [1] and build on techniques from [6, 7, 8, 16], where it should be mentioned that integrability is required. For integrable kernels not parametrized by groups see also [11] and [4].

Lemma 1.5. *The mapping*

$$B^\# \ni \{\lambda_i\} \mapsto \sum_i \lambda_i \ell_{x_i} \Phi \in B_\Phi$$

is continuous. The convergence of the sums above is pointwise, and if $C_c(G)$ is dense in B the convergence is also in norm.

From now on we let U_ϵ denote the set

$$U_\epsilon := \left\{ \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(t_n X_n) \Big| - \epsilon \leq t_k \leq \epsilon, 1 \leq k \leq n \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.6. *With the assumptions on B and Φ listed above we can choose ϵ small enough that for any U_ϵ-BUPU $\{\psi_i\}$ the following three operators are all invertible on B_Φ.*

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 f &:= \sum_i f(x_i)(\psi_i * \Phi) \\ T_2 f &:= \sum_i \lambda_i(f) \ell_{x_i} \Phi \quad \textit{with } \lambda_i(f) = \int f(x)\psi_i(x)\,dx \\ T_3 f &:= \sum_i c_i f(x_i) \ell_{x_i} \Phi \quad \textit{with } c_i = \int \psi_i(x)\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the sums above is pointwise and, if $C_c(G)$ is dense in B, the convergence is also in norm. Furthermore both $\{\lambda_i(T_2^{-1} f), \ell_{x_i}\Phi\}$ and $\{c_i T_3^{-1} f(x_i), \ell_{x_i}\Phi\}$ are atomic decompositions of B_Φ and $\{c_i \ell_{x_i}\Phi\}$ is a frame.

1.4. **Decomposition of coorbits using smoothness.** To obtain frames and atomic decompositions via Theorem 1.6 we will need to introduce differentiability with respect to π and π^*. A vector $u \in S$ is called π-weakly differentiable in the direction $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ if there is a vector, denoted by $\pi(X)u$, in S such that for all $\phi \in S^*$

$$\langle \phi, \pi(X)u \rangle = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \langle \phi, \pi(e^{tX})u \rangle.$$

For a fixed basis $\{X_i\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ and for a multi-index α define $\pi(X^\alpha)u$ (when it makes sense) by

$$\langle \phi, \pi(X^\alpha)u \rangle := \langle \phi, \pi(X_{\alpha(k)})\pi(X_{\alpha(k-1)}) \cdots \pi(X_{\alpha(1)})u \rangle.$$

We note that if $\Phi(x) = W_u(\phi)(x)$ and u is π-weakly differentiable, then $R^\alpha \Phi(x) = W_{\pi(X^\alpha)u}(u)(x)$. We have similar notion for the representation (π^*, S^*). A vector $\phi \in S^*$ is called π^*-weakly differentiable in the direction $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ if there is a vector denoted $\pi^*(X)\phi \in S^*$ such that for all $v \in S$

$$\langle \pi^*(X)\phi, v \rangle = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \langle \pi^*(e^{tX})\phi, v \rangle.$$

For a multi-index α define $\pi^*(X^\alpha)\phi$ by (when it makes sense)

$$\pi^*(X^\alpha)\phi = \pi^*(X_{\alpha(k)})\pi^*(X_{\alpha(k-1)}) \cdots \pi^*(X_{\alpha(1)})\phi$$

We say that $v \in S$, respectively $\phi \in S^*$, is k-times differentiable if $\pi(X^\alpha)v$, respectively $\pi^*(X^\alpha)\phi$, exists for all α with $|\alpha| \le k$. We note that if $\Phi(x) = W_u(\phi)(x)$ and ϕ is π^*-weakly differentiable, then $L^\alpha \Phi(x) = W_u(\pi^*(X^\alpha)\phi)(x)$. The following result provides atomic decompositions and frames for coorbit spaces.

Theorem 1.7. *Let B be a solid and left and right invariant Banach function space for which right translations are continuous. Assume that $u \in S \subseteq S^*$ is both π- and π^*-weakly differentiable and satisfies the requirements in Theorem 1.1. Furthermore assume the mappings*

$$f \mapsto f * W_{\pi(X^\alpha)u}(u) \text{ and } f \mapsto f * W_u(\pi^*(X^\alpha)u)$$

are continuous on B for $|\alpha| \le \dim(G)$. Then we can choose ϵ small enough that for any U_ϵ-relatively separated set $\{x_i\}$ the family $\{\pi(x_i)u\}$ is a frame for $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$. Furthermore the families $\{\lambda_i \circ T_2^{-1} \circ W_u, \pi(x_i)u\}$ and $\{c_i T_3^{-1} \circ W_u, \pi(x_i)u\}$ both form atomic decompositions for $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$. In particular $\phi \in \mathrm{Co}_S^u B$ can be reconstructed by

$$\phi = W_u^{-1} T_1^{-1} \left(\sum_i W_u(\phi)(x_i)\psi_i * W_u(u) \right)$$

$$\phi = \sum_i \lambda_i (T_2^{-1} W_u(\phi)) \pi(x_i)u$$

$$\phi = \sum_i c_i (T_3^{-1} W_u(\phi))(x_i) \pi(x_i)u$$

The convergence of the last two sums is in S^*, and with convergence in $\mathrm{Co}_S^u B$ if $C_c(G)$ is dense in B. Here $\{\psi_i\}$ is any U_ϵ-BUPU for which $\mathrm{supp}(\psi_i) \subseteq x_i U_\epsilon$. T_1, T_2, T_3 and c_i and λ_i are defined as in Theorem 1.6.

2. Besov spaces on stratified Lie Groups

In this section we introduce the notion of *stratified Lie groups*. We collect some needed fundamental work by Folland, Hulanicki and Stein [9, 10, 19]. We then introduce *homogeneous Besov spaces* for stratified Lie groups following [13]. Our main result in this section is Theorem 2.9 which is crucial for coorbit description and atomic decomposition of the Besov spaces.

2.1. **Stratified Lie groups.** A connected and simply connected Lie group G is called stratified if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} V_j \quad \text{such that} \quad [V_1, V_k] = V_{k+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m$$

where we set $V_{m+1} = \{0\}$, see [9]. Note that induction shows that

$$[V_i, V_j] \subseteq V_{i+j} \text{ for } i, j \geq 1\,. \tag{2}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{g}$ is step m nilpotent. From now on $\mathfrak{g}$ is assumed stratified with fixed stratification $(V_1, \ldots, V_m)$. We identify G with $\mathfrak{g}$ through the exponential map. The multiplication is then given by the Cambell-Hausdorff formula. Examples of stratified Lie groups are Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$, the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$, and the upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal.

For $r > 0$ define the $\delta_r : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by $\delta_r(X) = r^k X$ if $x \in V_k$. Equation (2) shows that δ_r is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. The family $\{\delta_r\}_{r>0}$ is called the canonical family of dilations for $\mathfrak{g}$. It gives rise to dilations $\gamma_r : G \to G$ given by

$$\gamma_r(x) = \exp \circ \delta_r \circ \log(x)$$

with $\log = \exp^{-1}$. We note that γ_r is a homomorphism, and following [9] we often write rx for $\gamma_r(x)$.

The Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a left and right Haar measure μ_G on G. We will often write dx instead of $d\mu_G(x)$. Let the number $Q := \sum_1^m j(\dim V_j)$ be the *homogeneous degree* of G, then we have

$$\int_G f(rx)\, dx = r^{-Q} \int_G f(x)\, dx.$$

For example, the homogenous degrees for $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{H}^n$ are $Q = n$ and $Q = 2n + 2$, respectively.

A homogeneous norm on G is a map $|\cdot| : G \to [0, \infty)$, $x \mapsto |x|$, which is continuous and smooth, except at zero, and satisfies the following:

(a) $|x| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
(b) $|rx| = r|x|$ for all $r > 0$, and $x \in G$,
(c) $|x| = |x^{-1}|$.

Homogenous norms always exist on stratified Lie groups [9, 10]. For example, on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ with the underlying manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$, a homogenous norm is given by

$$|(x, t)| = (\sum x_j^4 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

It follows by [9], Lemma 1.2, that the balls $\{x \in G \mid |x| \leq R\}$ are compact and by [9], Lemma 1.4, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|xy| \leq C(|x| + |y|)$ holds for all $x, y \in G$.

2.2. **The sub-Laplacian on stratified Lie groups.** Let $n = \dim G$, $n_k = \dim V_k$ and let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ as before. We require that $X_j \in V_k$ for $n_1 + \ldots + n_{k-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + \ldots + n_k$. In particular, $X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ is a basis for V_1. Thus, the left invariant differential operators $R(X_1), \ldots, R(X_{n_1})$ satisfy the Hörmander condition [18] or [9]. We will use coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to this basis. Note that those coordinates also form a global chart for G. In particular, the space $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of polynomial functions on G is just the space $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of polynomials in the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{D}(G)$ the algebra of left invariant differential operators on G. Recall that $X \mapsto R(X)$ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism into $\mathbb{D}(G)$ and $R(\mathfrak{g})$ generates $\mathbb{D}(G)$. Note that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is contained in the Weyl algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ of differential operators on $\mathfrak{g}$ with polynomial coefficients.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ the usual space of Schwartz functions on $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $\mathcal{S}(G) := \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, the Schwartz functions on G are the smooth functions on G for which

$$|f|_N := \sup_{|\alpha|\le N, x\in G} (1+|x|)^N |R^\alpha f(x)| < \infty \qquad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

The topology on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is defined by the family of seminorms $\{|\cdot|_N \mid N\in\mathbb{N}\}$, see [10], Section D, Page 35. This topology does not depended on the stratification $(V_1,\dots,V_m)$.

According to our previous notation, the conjugate linear dual of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(G)$ and the conjugate dual pairing is denoted by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

Define the space $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ of Schwartz functions with vanishing moments by

$$\mathcal{S}_0(G) := \left\{ f\in\mathcal{S}(G) \;\middle|\; \int_G x^\alpha f(x)\,d\mu(x) = 0 \text{ for all multi-indices } \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ with the relative topology, and it forms an ideal under convolution: $\mathcal{S}(G) * \mathcal{S}_0(G) \subset \mathcal{S}_0(G)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{S}_0(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$ induces a continuous linear map $\mathcal{S}^*(G) \to \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ with kernel $\mathcal{P}(G)$, and thus $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}^*(G)/\mathcal{P}(G)$ in a canonical way. For the proof of these facts we refer to [13].

The sub-Laplacian operator on G is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} := -\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} R(X_i)^2 \in \mathbb{D}(G)\,.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}$ depends on the space V_1 in the given stratification. As $R(X_1),\dots,R(X_{n_1})$ satisfies the Hörmander condition it follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is hypoelliptic. Also, $\mathcal{L}$ is formally self-adjoint and: for any $f,g\in C_c^\infty(G)$, $\langle\mathcal{L}f,g\rangle = \langle f,\mathcal{L}g\rangle$ which follows by partial integration. The closure of $\mathcal{L}$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{L}$ and has domain $\mathcal{D} = \{u\in L^2(G) \mid \mathcal{L}u \in L^2(G)\}$, where we use $\mathcal{L}u$ in the sense of distributions. For more information we refer to [15, 9, 10]. A linear differential operator D on G is called homogenous of degree p if $D(f\circ\gamma_a) = a^p(Df)\circ\gamma_a$ for any f. If $X\in V_j$, then $R(X)$ is homogeneous of degree j. It follows that $\mathcal{L}^k$ is homogenous of degree $2k$. For the spectral theory for unbounded operators we refer to [21], in particular p. 356–370. Since the closure of $\mathcal{L}$ is self-adjoint and positive it follows that $\mathcal{L}$ has spectral resolution

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty \lambda\, dP_\lambda,$$

where dP_λ is the corresponding projection valued measure. For $\widehat{\phi}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ define the commutative integral operator on $L^2(G)$ by

$$\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L}) := \int_0^\infty \widehat{\phi}(\lambda)\, dP_\lambda.$$

The operator norm is $\|\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})\| = \|\widehat{\phi}\|_\infty$, and the correspondence $\widehat{\phi} \leftrightarrow \widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})$ satisfies

(a) $(\widehat{\phi}\widehat{\psi})(\mathcal{L}) = \widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})\widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})$;

(b) $\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})^* = \overline{\widehat{\phi}}(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 2.1 ([19]). *Let $\widehat{\phi}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then there exists $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(G)$ such that*

$$\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})f = f*\phi \quad \text{for all } f\in\mathcal{S}(G)\;. \tag{3}$$

The function ϕ is called the *distribution kernel* for $\widehat{\phi}$, and from now on, if $\widehat{\phi}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ then $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(G)$ will always denote the distribution kernel for $\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})$. The following two lemmas are simple applications of Hulanicki's theorem.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\widehat{\psi}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with distribution kernel $\psi\in\mathcal{S}(G)$. Then the distribution kernel for $\overline{\widehat{\psi}}$ is ψ^* where $\psi^*(x) := \overline{\psi(x^{-1})}$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and recall that $\widehat{\overline{\psi}}(\mathcal{L}) = \widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})^*$. The claim follows now because

$$(f, \widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})^* g) = (\widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L}) f, g) = (f * \psi, g) = (f, g * \psi^*)\,. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let p be a polynomial function on G. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^k p = 0$.*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ be such that $p(\exp(X)) = P(X)$. Define

$$q_X(t_1, \ldots, t_{n_1}) := \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} P(\log(\exp(X)\exp(t_j X_j)))\,.$$

As G is nilpotent, then there exists m such that q_X is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. But then

$$\mathcal{L}^k p(\exp X) = \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_j^2} \right)^k q_X(0) = 0$$

for all $k > m/2$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

If $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and η is the corresponding kernel function, then we say that η is *band-limited* if $\widehat{\eta}$ is compactly supported. In that case there exist $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\eta}) \subseteq [\epsilon, \delta]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\widehat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\widehat{\phi} \equiv 0$ in some neighborhood of zero and let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. Then the distribution kernel ϕ of $\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L})$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$, and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\widehat{\phi}_m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\phi = \mathcal{L}^m \phi_m$. Furthermore,* $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\phi}_m) \subseteq [\epsilon, \delta]$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq [\epsilon, \delta]$.

Proof. Define $\widehat{\phi}_m(s) := s^{-m}\widehat{\phi}(s)$. Then $\widehat{\phi}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^m \widehat{\phi}_m(\mathcal{L})$ and by Theorem 2.1 and our assumption on ϕ we have $\phi_m \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi = \mathcal{L}^m \phi_m$. Let p be any polynomial on G of degree $k \leq 2m-1$. Then $\mathcal{L}^m p = 0$ and we have

$$\int_G p(x)\phi(x)\, d\mu(x) = \int_G p(x)\mathcal{L}^m \phi_m(x)\, d\mu(x) = \int_G \mathcal{L}^m p(x) \phi_m(x)\, d\mu(x) = 0$$

Thus ϕ has vanishing moments of arbitrary order. The last statement is obvious. $\square$

If $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and φ has vanishing moments of all orders, then $p * \varphi = 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Hence $(f + \mathcal{P}(G)) \mapsto f * \varphi$ is a well defined linear map on $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$.

2.3. Besov spaces.

To define Besov spaces on an abstract stratified group G we begin as follows: Pick a multiplier $\widehat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with support in $[2^{-2}, 2^2]$. Denote by ψ the distribution kernel of the operator $\widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})$ as before. Define $\widehat{\psi}_j(\lambda) := \widehat{\psi}(2^{-2j}\lambda)$. We assume that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\psi}_j(\lambda)|^2 = 1 \ a.e\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+\ . \tag{4}$$

Lemma 2.5. *For $\widehat{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $r > 0$. The distribution kernel ψ^r corresponding to the operator $\widehat{\psi}(r\mathcal{L})$ is $\psi^r(x) = r^{-Q/2}\psi(r^{-1/2}x)$. In particular, let $\psi_j \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ denote the distribution kernel of the operator $\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})$. Then $\psi_j(x) = 2^{jQ}\psi(2^j x)$.*

This follows easily from the homogeneity of $\mathcal{L}$. The result is also true for bounded $\widehat{\psi}$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$. For a proof see [10] Lemma 6.29. Equation (4) implies that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})^* \circ \widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L}) = I\ ,$$

where the sum converges in the strong L^2-operator topology. By (3) we therefore get the following Calderón decomposition for all $g \in L^2(G)$:

$$g = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} g * \psi_j * \psi_j^* \tag{5}$$

where the series converges unconditionally in L^2-norm. For $g \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$, the expansion (5) also converges in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ in the Schwartz space topology ([13], Lemma 3.7). Therefore by duality, for $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ in the weak * topology.

Assume that (4) holds, and define the *homogeneous Besov space* $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(G) := \dot{B}^s_{p,q}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $1 \le q < \infty$, to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} := \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|f * \psi_j\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \ , \tag{6}$$

with the natural convention for when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$. (Note that the convolution with a distribution is understood in the weak sense.) Here we collect some of properties of the homogeneous Besov spaces in the following lemma. For proofs we refer to [13]:

Lemma 2.6. *The following holds:*

(a) *The Besov spaces $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}}$ are Banach spaces.*

(b) *For all $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, one has continuous inclusion maps $\mathcal{S}_0(G) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^s_{p,q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$. And for any $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathcal{L}^k\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is dense in $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}$.*

(c) *For any given $1 \le p, q < \infty$ the decomposition (5) converges for all $g \in \dot{B}^s_{p,q}$ in the Besov space norm.*

(d) *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|s| < 2k$, and any $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} \asymp \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2} \|(t\mathcal{L})^k e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f\|_p^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \ . \tag{7}$$

We note that the corresponding kernel function to $\widehat{\psi}(\lambda) = (\lambda)^k e^{-\lambda}$ is **not** in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ so we can not use (7) for our purposes. Our aim is therefore to show that we can replace this function with a bandlimited function.

2.4. Equivalent norms on Besov spaces.

The chief result of this section is stated in Theorem 2.9 where the Besov norm (6) is described in terms of band-limited kernel functions with vanishing moments of all orders.

In the sequel, we will use the notation "$\preceq$" to mean "$\le$" up to a positive constant.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\widehat{\varphi}$, $\widehat{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then for any $r, s > 0$ and $f \in L^2(G)$*

$$\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})\widehat{\psi}(s\mathcal{L})f = \widehat{\varphi}(rs^{-1}\mathcal{L})\widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})f = \widehat{\varphi}(\mathcal{L})\widehat{\psi}(sr^{-1}\mathcal{L})f \ .$$

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 2.5 and the equation (3). □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(m, \varphi, \psi)$ such that*

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})\psi\|_1 \le c r^m \quad \forall\ r > 0 \,.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\psi = \mathcal{L}^m \psi_m$ for some $\psi_m \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$. Denote the distribution kernel of $\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})$ by $\varphi^r \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$. Then by the equation (3)

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})\psi\|_1 = \|\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})\mathcal{L}^m\psi_m\|_1 = \|(\mathcal{L}^m\psi_m) * \varphi^r\|_1 = \|\psi_m * (\mathcal{L}^m \varphi^r)\|_1 \,.$$

Now, Lemma 2.5, the homogeneity of $\mathcal{L}^m$, and Young's inequality for $p = q = 1$ implies

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}(r\mathcal{L})\psi\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{L}^m\varphi^r\|_1\ \|\psi_m\|_1 \leq r^m\|\mathcal{L}^m\varphi\|_1\ \|\psi_m\|_1 = c\ r^m$$

with $c = \|\mathcal{L}^m\varphi\|_1\ \|\psi_m\|_1$. □

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with compact support. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} \asymp \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} . \tag{8}$$

Proof. Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We first show that "$\succeq$" holds. Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ and assume that the right hand side in (8) is finite. We can rewrite the integral as follows.

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^4 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (2^{2l}t)^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q\ \frac{dt}{t}\ .$$

As $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f$ is in $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ and that (5) converges in $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ in weak * topology, we can write

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p = \left\|\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f * \psi_j * \psi_j^*\right\|_p \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f * \psi_j * \psi_j^*\|_p\ . \tag{9}$$

By the left invariant property of the operator $\mathcal{L}$ and hence $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})$, along with an application of Young's inequality for $p \geq 1$ and Lemma 2.7 we get

$$\sum_j \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f * \psi_j * \psi_j^*\|_p \leq \sum_j \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})\psi_j^*\|_1\ \|f * \psi_j\|_p = \sum_j \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})\psi^*\|_1\ \|f * \psi_j\|_p\ .$$

By interfering the preceding in (9) and then multiplying both sides by 2^{-ls} we obtain the following.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-ls}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p &\leq \sum_j 2^{-ls}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})\psi_j^*\|_1\|f * \psi_j\|_p \qquad (10)\\ &= \sum_j \left(2^{-(l+j)s}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})\psi^*\|_1\right)\left(2^{js}\|f * \psi_j\|_p\right)\ . \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notations above, let $A_j^t := 2^{js}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{-2j}t\mathcal{L})\psi^*\|_1$ and $B_j := 2^{js}\|f * \psi_j\|_p$. Using new definitions and the definition of convolution on $\mathbb{Z}$, the summation in the inequality (10) is a convolution on $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the inequality can be rewritten as

$$2^{-ls}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p \leq \{B_j\}_j * \{A_j^t\}_j(-l).$$

Taking the sum on $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ above and then again applying Young's inequality yields

$$\sum_j 2^{-lsq}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \leq \|\{B_j\}\|_q^q\|\{A_j^t\}\|_1^q\ . \tag{11}$$

Integrating both sides of (11) over t in $[1, 4]$, substituting $\{A_j^t\}$ back, and that $\|\{B_j\}\|_q^q = \|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}}^q$, implies

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q\ \frac{dt}{t} \leq \|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}}^q \int_1^4 \left(\sum_j 2^{js}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{-2j}t\mathcal{L})\psi^*\|_1\right)^q\ \frac{dt}{t} \tag{12}$$

Therefore to complete the proof it remains to show that the sum in the preceding relation is finite. Without loss of generality, we shall prove this only for negative j's. For positive j the assertion follows with a similar argument.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < s/2$. By Lemma 2.8 we have

$$\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{-2j}t\mathcal{L})\psi^*\|_1 \leq c(2^{-2j}t)^m$$

for some constant c independent of t and j. Now it is simple to see that the application of the preceding estimation in the integral (12) for the summands over negative j implies the finiteness of the integral (12) for $m < s/2$. For the positive j we take $m > s/2$.

To show "$\preceq$" holds, let $c = \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}(t)|^2 dt/t$. This is finite by the choice of $\widehat{\varphi}$. By dilation invariance property of the measure dt/t, for any $\lambda > 0$ we can rewrite $c = \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda t)|^2 \, \frac{dt}{t}$. For simplicity, we assume that $c = 1$. By substituting $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{L}$ and using the spectral theory for the sub-Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$, we derive the following Calderòn formula from the integral:

$$I = \int_0^\infty |\widehat{\varphi}|^2(t\mathcal{L}) \, \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty \widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})^* \widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L}) \, \frac{dt}{t} \tag{13}$$

where I is the identity, and the integral converges in the strong sense. Applying (13) to $\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f$ and employing $\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L}) = \widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})$, we have

$$\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f = \int_0^\infty \widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})^* \widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f \, \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^4 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^* \widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f \, \frac{dt}{t} \tag{14}$$

If ψ_j is the distribution kernel of $\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})$, then the distribution kernel of $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})$ is $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j$ and for any $g \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})g = g * \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j \qquad \text{(in the dual sense).}$$

In particular, for $g = \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f$, in (14) we have

$$\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f = \int_1^4 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f * \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j \, \frac{dt}{t} \, . \tag{15}$$

First, by applying Minkowski's inequality for integrals and series and then Young's inequality for $p \geq 1$, from (15) we deduce the following.

$$\|\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f\|_p \leq \int_1^4 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p \; \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j\|_1 \, \frac{dt}{t} \, .$$

Therefore for any $q \geq 1$

$$\|\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \preceq \int_1^4 \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p \; \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j\|_1 \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \, . \tag{16}$$

If in Lemma 2.7 we let $r = 2^{2l}t$ and $s = 2^{-2j}$, then $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\widehat{\psi}(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L}) = \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})^*\widehat{\psi}(\mathcal{L})$ and consequently $\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi_j = \widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi$. Using this in (16), taking sum over j with weights 2^{jsq} and applying Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q &\leq \int_1^4 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p \; \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi\|_1 \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \\
&= \int_1^4 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ls} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p \; 2^{(j+l)s} \|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2(l+j)}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi\|_1 \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \, .
\end{aligned}$$

The summation over l is a convolution of two sequences at $-j$. Therefore by Young's inequality for q

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq}\|\widehat{\psi}_j(\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \leq \int_1^4 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-lsq}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \left(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ls}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{-2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi\|_1\right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \,. \tag{17}$$

Note that the left side of (17) is $\|f\|^q_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}}$. And, by a similar argument used above, one can show that the sum $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-ls}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{-2l}t\mathcal{L})^*\psi\|_1$ is finite. With these and that $1\leq t\leq 4$, in (17) we proceed as below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^q_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} &\preceq \int_1^4 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-lsq}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \,\frac{dt}{t}\\
&\preceq \int_1^4 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} (2^{2l}t)^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(2^{2l}t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \,\frac{dt}{t}\\
&= \int_0^\infty t^{-sq/2}\|\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \,\frac{dt}{t} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of " $\preceq$ ".

Using the standard convention, the proof of the theorem for the cases $q=\infty$ and $p=\infty$ is simple and we omit it here. □

We shall say a compactly supported function $\widehat{\phi}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a cut off function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ if $\widehat{\phi}\equiv 1$ in an open subinterval of its support. The following corollary generalizes the results of Theorem 4.4 in [13].

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\widehat{\varphi}$ be any cut off function on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Then for any $\widehat{\psi}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} \asymp \left(\int_0^\infty \|\widehat{\psi}(t\mathcal{L})\widehat{\varphi}(t\mathcal{L})f\|_p^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} \,.$$

In particular one takes $\widehat{\psi}(\lambda)=\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

3. Besov spaces as coorbits

3.1. **Some representation theory of stratified Lie groups.** In this section we will look at some representation theoretic results for the semidirect product $\mathbb{R}^+\ltimes G$ where $\mathbb{R}^+$ acts on G by dilations. For any $a>0$ and any function f on G, define the dilation of f as follows

$$D_a f(x) = a^{-Q/2} f(a^{-1}x),$$

where Q is the homogenous degree of G. Let π denote the quasi-regular representation of the semidirect product $\mathbb{R}^+\ltimes G$ defined by

$$\pi(a,x)f = \ell_x D_a f,\ \ a>0,\ \ x\in G \tag{18}$$

for all $f\in L^2(G)$. The representation π induces a family of infinitesimal operators $\pi^\infty(X)$ for X in the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{R}^+\ltimes G$

$$\pi^\infty(X)f = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\pi(\exp(tX))f - f}{t},$$

with limit in $L^2(G)$. We use π^∞ to separate this from the weak derivatives we have used before, yet note that a strongly smooth function in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is also both weak and weak* differentiable.

Lemma 3.1. *$(\pi,\mathcal{S}_0(G))$ and $(\pi^\infty,\mathcal{S}_0(G))$ are representations of $\mathbb{R}^+\ltimes G$ and its Lie algebra respectively.*

Proof. By Propositions 1.46 and 1.25 in [10] we already know that $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is invariant under the left translation. That $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is dilation invariant follows from the homogeneity of the norm $|\cdot|$. From the seminorms $|\cdot|_N$ it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ is invariant under left differentiation, and thus we only need to show that the differentiation arising from dilations leaves $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ invariant. Let $T=(1,0)$ be in the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{R}^+ \ltimes G$, then there exists polynomials p_α such that

$$\pi^\infty(T)f(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\pi(\exp(tT))f(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} e^{-t/2}f(e^{-t}x) = -\frac{1}{2}f(x) + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} p_\alpha(x)R^\alpha f(x),$$

where p_α are polynomials of degree 1 in x. For this statement see p. 41 in [10] coupled with p. 25 in [10]. This proves invariance under the infinitesimal operator $\pi^\infty(T)$. □

The Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \ltimes G$ is given by $d\mu(x,a) = a^{-(Q+1)}\,dx\,da$ where dx is the Haar measure on G. The following convolution identity is crucial to our main result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$ be the distribution kernel for $\widehat{u}$ with support away from zero satisfying*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\widehat{u}(\lambda)|^2}{\lambda}\,d\lambda = 1. \tag{19}$$

Then with convolution on $\mathbb{R}^+ \ltimes G$ we have

$$W_u(\phi) * W_u(u)(a,x) = W_u(\phi)(a,x)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$.

Proof. Since $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$ have vanishing moments of all orders, then $u * (D_a v)(x) = (u+p_1) * D_a(v+p_2)$ for all polynomials p_1, p_2. In particular if we let p_x be the right Taylor polynomium of homogeneous degree k for u around x, then

$$\int u(y)v(a^{-1}y^{-1}x)\,dy = \int u(xy)v(a^{-1}y^{-1})\,dy = \int (u(xy)-p_x(y))v(a^{-1}y^{-1})\,dy.$$

By the esimate of $u(xy)-p_x(y)$ from Lemma 3.2 in [13] we therefore get

$$\begin{aligned}|u * D_a v(x)| &\le C(u,k)\int a^{-Q/2}|y|^{k+1}|v(a^{-1}y^{-1})|\,dy \\ &\le C(u,k)a^{Q/2}\int |ay|^{k+1}|v(y^{-1})|\,dy \\ &\le C(u,v,k,m)a^{Q/2+k+1}(1+|x|)^{-m}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

A similar inequality is obtained in (10) from [13] (note the dilation there is different from D_a):

$$|u * D_a v(x)| \le C(u,v,k,m)a^{-k-1-Q/2}(1+|x|)^{-m}. \tag{21}$$

With these facts in place we are ready to prove the reproducing formula. Using the definition of π, this is the same as showing that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^*(G)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x \in G$

$$\int_0^\infty \phi * (D_b u)^* * (D_b u) * (D_a u)^*(x)\,\frac{db}{b^{Q+1}} = \phi * (D_a u)^*(x).$$

All convolutions involving u commute (by definition of u) and thus we need to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0, N\to\infty}\int_\epsilon^N \phi * (D_a u)^* * (D_b u) * (D_b u)^*(x)\,\frac{db}{b^{Q+1}} = \phi * (D_a u)^*(x).$$

Since $(D_a u) * (D_a u)^* = a^{-Q/2}D_a(u * u^*)$ the assertion will follow if for all $v \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$

$$g_{\epsilon,N}(x) := \int_\epsilon^N v * D_b(u * u^*)\,\frac{db}{b^{Q+1}}$$

converges in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ (by the L^2 spectral theory and (19) the limit is v). For $g_{\epsilon,N}$ to be Cauchy in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ it suffices to show that for all m and $|\alpha| \le m$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \sup_{x\in G}(1+|x|^m)|R^\alpha(v * D_b(u*u^*))(x)|\,\frac{db}{b^{Q+1}} < \infty.$$

This can be verified by choosing k and m large enough in (20) (when $b \le 1$) and (21) (when $b \ge 1$). ☐

Remark 3.3. *The integral* (19) *is finite for any* $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *with support away zero. Therefore for the lemma one needs to normalize* $\widehat{u}$ *such that the integral is one.*

3.2. **Coorbit description and atomic decompositions for Besov spaces.** In this section we show that the Besov spaces on stratified Lie groups can be described via certain Banach space norms of wavelet transforms (see Section 1). The Banach spaces of interest are equivalence classes, $L_s^{p,q} := L_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times G)$ for $1 \le p,q \le \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, of measurable functions for which

$$\|f\|_{L_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left(\int_G |f(a,x)|^p dx\right)^{q/p} a^{-qs/2}\,\frac{da}{a}\right)^{1/q} < \infty\ .$$

As a corollary to Lemma 2.6 (b) and Theorem 2.9 we note:

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $1 \le p,q \le \infty$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *then for any vector* $u \in \mathcal{S}_0(G)$ *the mapping*

$$\mathcal{S}_0(G) \ni v \mapsto W_u(v) \in L_s^{p,q}$$

is continuous.

The main result of this paper follows:

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *be compactly supported. Then* u *is an analyzing vector and, for any* $1 \le p,q \le \infty$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *up to norm equivalence we have*

$$B_{p,q}^{Q-2s/q}(G) = \mathrm{Co}^u_{\mathcal{S}_0(G)} L_s^{p,q}.$$

Furthermore, the frames and atomic decompositions from Theorem 1.7 all apply.

Proof. We first verify the conditions of Theorem 1.1 with $S = \mathcal{S}_0(G)$, $B = L_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times G)$, and π as given in (18).

By Lemma 2.4 it is known that u is in $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ such that $\langle \pi(a,x)u, \phi\rangle = 0$ for all $(a,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times G$. By Theorem 2.9 $\phi \equiv 0$ in $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ for all $1 \le p,q \le \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The continuous embedding $B_{p,q}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$ implies that $\phi \equiv 0$ in $\mathcal{S}_0^*(G)$. Thus u is a cyclic vector in $\mathcal{S}(G)$.

The following Hölder inequality and Corollary 3.4 imply the continuity of map (1) in Theorem 1.1:

$$\|f * W_u(u)\|_{L_{s'}^{\infty,\infty}} \le \|f\|_{L_s^{p,q}} \|W_u(u)\|_{L_{-s}^{p',q'}}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/q + 1/q' = 1$, $s' = s - 2Q/q'$.

The multiplier $\widehat{u}$ satisfies (19) (otherwise we normalize $\widehat{u}$), therefore the reproducing formula $W_u(\phi) * W_u(u) = W_u(\phi)$ holds true by Lemma 3.2. Theorem 2.9 completes the proof of the first part.

For the last statement we need to verify that the conditions of Theorem 1.7 are satisfied. The invariance of $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ under π^∞ (and hence the invariance under weak derivatives) follows from

Lemma 3.1. By Young's inequality derived below

$$\begin{aligned}\|f*g\|_{L^{p,q}_s} &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\int_G \tilde{g}(a,x)R_{(a,x)}f\ a^{-(Q+1)}dadx \right\|_{L^{p,q}_s} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\int_G |\tilde{g}(a,x)|\ \|R_{(a,x)}f\|_{L^{p,q}_s}\ a^{-(Q+1)}dadx \\ &= \|f\|_{L^{p,q}_s}\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^{1,1}_{2Q+s}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^{p,q}_s}\|g\|_{L^{1,1}_{-s}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

and Corollary 3.4, for any $|\alpha| \leq \dim(\mathbb{R}^+ \ltimes G)$, all convolutions

$$B \ni f \mapsto f * W_{\pi(X^\alpha)u}(u) \in B \quad \text{and} \quad B \ni f \mapsto f * W_u(\pi^*(X^\alpha)u) \in B$$

are continuous and thus the decompositions apply. □

References

[1] J. G. Christensen. Sampling in reproducing kernel Banach spaces on Lie groups, *J. Approx. Th.*, **164** (1) (2012), 179–203.

[2] J. G. Christensen and G. Ólafsson. Examples of coorbit spaces for dual pairs. *Acta Appl. Math.*, **107** (1-3) (2009), 25–48.

[3] ———. Coorbit spaces for dual pairs. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, **31** (2) (2011), 303–324.

[4] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, H. Rauhut, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Generalized coorbit theory, Banach frames, and the relation to α-modulation spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, **96** (2) (2008), 464–506.

[5] H. G. Feichtinger. A characterization of minimal homogeneous Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1) (1981), 55–61.

[6] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. A unified approach to atomic decompositions via integrable group representations. In *Function spaces and applications (Lund, 1986)*, **1302** of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 52–73. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[7] ———. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **86** (2) (1989), 307–340.

[8] ———. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II. *Monatsh. Math.*, **108** (2-3) (1989), 129–148.

[9] G. Folland. Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups. *Ark. Mat.* **13** (1975), 161207.

[10] G.B. Folland and E.M. Stein. *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups.* Mathematical Notes **28**, Princeton University Press, 1982.

[11] M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut. Continuous frames, function spaces, and the discretization problem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **11** (3), (2005), 245–287.

[12] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, and G. Weiss. *Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces.* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **79**, AMS 1991.

[13] H. Führ and A. Mayeli. Homogeneous Besov spaces on stratified Lie groups and their wavelet characterization, to appear in *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, arXiv:1007.4041v1

[14] G. Furioli, C. Melzi, and A. Veneruso, Littlewood-Paley Decomposition and Besov Spaces on Lie Groups of Polynomial Growth, *Math. Nachr.* **279**, No. 9-10, 1028-1040 (2006).

[15] D. Geller and A. Mayeli. Continuous wavelets and frames on stratified Lie groups I, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **12** (2006), 543-579.

[16] K. Gröchenig. Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, **112** (1) (1991), 1–42.

[17] J. A. Hogan and J. D. Lakey. *Time-Frequency and Time-Scale Methods. Adaptive Decompositions, Uncertainty Principles, and Sampling.* Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, 2003.

[18] L. Hörmander. Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.* **119** (1967), 147171.

[19] A. Hulanicki. A functional calculus for Rockland operators on nilpotent Lie groups, *Stud. Math.* **78** (1984), 253–266.

[20] H. Rauhut. *Time-Frequency and Wavelet Analysis of Functions with Symmetry Properties.* Logos-Verlag, 2005.

[21] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis, Second Edition*, *International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, McGraw-Hill, 1991.

[22] K. Saka, Besov Spaces and Sobolev Spaces on a Nilpotent Lie Group, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* **31** (1979), 383-437.

Tufts University, Department of Mathematics, 503 Boston Avenue, Medford, MA 02155
E-mail address: `jens.christensen@tufts.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, City University of New York (CUNY), Queensborough College, 222-05 56th Avenue Bayside, NY 11364
E-mail address: `amayeli@qcc.cuny.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803
E-mail address: `olafsson@math.lsu.edu`